REMARKS

Claims 1 and 4-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C.§102(b) as being anticipated by Kondo.

Reconsideration is requested.

Claim 4 has been canceled and claim 1 has been amended by combining with former claim 1 with claim 8 for the purpose of presenting claim 8 in independent form. Claim 5 has also been amended to include the substance of allowable claim 8. For these reasons, it is requested that amended claims 1 and 5 be favorably considered. For these reasons, it is requested that this ground of rejection be withdrawn.

Claims 1-2 and 4-5 were rejected under 35 U.S.C.§102(b) as being anticipated by Ozaki.

Reconsideration is requested.

AS noted above, claims 1 and 5 have been amended to include the substance of allowable claim 8, Claim 2 is dependent on amended claim 1 and thus it includes all of the limitations of amended claim 1.Claim 4 has been canceled and is not at issue. For these reasons, it is requested that this ground of rejection be withdrawn.

Claims 1, 4-7 and 9 were rejected under 35 U.S.C.§102(b) as being anticipated by Takata.

Reconsideration is requested.

Claims 4 and 9 have been canceled and as noted above, claims 1 and 5 have been amended to include the substance of allowable claim 8. Claims 6 and 7 are directly or indirectly dependent on amended claim 1.

For these reasons it is requested that this ground of rejection be withdrawn.

م وسندس ۱۰ وید

An early and favorable action is earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

Lames V. Costigan Registration No. 25,669

HEDMAN & COSTIGAN, P.C. 1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036 (212) 302-8989

12123028998